UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Erick Pastrana-Bahena	O N- 4:40 -: 00440 D.H
Defendant	Case No. 1:12-cr-00140-RJJ
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I	– Findings of Fact
	ped in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of use that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. which the prison term is 10 years or more.	§ 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentenc	e is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term	m of ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 state or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence bu a minor victim	ut involves:
	arm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon .S.C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	he date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pre- person or the community. I further find that defer	esumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another and the safety of another and the not rebutted that presumption.
Altern	ative Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defen	dant has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten year Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ce and the safety of the community.
✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	ative Findings (B)
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will end	• •
	t of the Reasons for Detention
	at the detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
 Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to Defendant is subject to an immigration detainer and wo Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detenti 	
Part III – Direct	tions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	May 23, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge